FILED 1 Matthew C. Maclear (Bar No. 209228) KERN COUNTY Jason Flanders (Bar No. 238007) JUL 2 8 2016 2 AQUA TERRA AERIS LAW GROUP 409 45th St. TERRY MCNALLY, CLERK 3 Oakland, CA 94609 DEPUTY Emails: 4 mcm@atalawgroup.com jrf@atalawgroup.com 5 Attorneys for Plaintiffs 6 Clean Water Fund. Center for Environmental Health, 7 and Association of Irritated Residents 8 9 10 SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA 11 COUNTY OF KERN 12 13 CLEAN WATER FUND, CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH, and 14 ASSOCIATION OF IRRITATED RESIDENTS, [PROPOSED] STIPULATED CONSENT JUDGMENT 15 Plaintiffs, 16 ٧. 17 VALLEY WATER MANAGEMENT 18 COMPANY. 19 Defendant. 20 21 22 STIPULATED CONSENT JUDGMENT 23 This Agreement for a Stipulated Consent Judgment ("Agreement") between Clean Water Fund, 24 Center for Environmental Health, and Association of Irritated Residents (collectively "Plaintiffs") and 25 Valley Water Management Company ("Valley") is effective on the date identified in Section 6 below 26 and can be entered by the Kern County Superior Court. Valley and the Plaintiffs are referred to 27 individually as a "Party" and together as the "Parties" to this Agreement. The Parties agree as follows: 28

1

STIPULATED CONSENT JUDGMENT

1. This Agreement is intended to fully resolve all claims, demands, and allegations that were or could have been set forth in the Notice of Violation of California Health and Safety Code section 25249.5 et seq. (also known as "Proposition 65") that Aqua Terra Aeris ("ATA"), counsel for the Plaintiffs, served by mail on Valley on October 2, 2015 ("the Notice") for all Proposition 65 constituents at the Fee 34 and Race Track Hill facilities operated by Valley. The Notice, received by Valley on October 5, 2015, related to discharges of Proposition 65 chemicals to treatment and storage ponds, pits, percolation ponds, and spray fields described therein, but not limited to, the following facilities:

Fee 34: Township 34, Section 295, Range 29E, Mount Diablo Base Meridian Lat/Long - 35°21'17.86"N / 118°51'36.20"W Assessor's Parcel Number: 388-050-254

Race Track Hill: Township 29S, Section 24, Range 29E, Mount Diablo Base & Meridian Lat/Long - 35°23'34.31"N / 118°49'16.22"W Assessor's Parcel Number: 387-060-031

- 2. This Agreement does not apply to Valley's Kern Front facility on the east side of Kern County, or to Valley's facilities on the west side of Kern County (McKittrick 1 1-1, and 1-3, Buena Vista 1 and 2, Broad Creek 1 and 2, Southeast Taft, Maricopa East and West). Valley contends that Proposition 65 does not apply to any of its produced water facilities because the facilities also do not discharge to a present source of drinking water at levels of concern under Proposition 65.
- 3. The Parties enter into this Agreement to achieve full and complete settlement of the claims as stated in Paragraph 1 above (collectively, this "Matter") and for the purpose of avoiding prolonged litigation. Nothing in this Agreement shall be construed as an admission of the Parties of any fact, issue of law, or violation of law, nor shall compliance with this Agreement constitute or be construed as an admission by the Parties of any fact, issue of law, or violation of law. Nothing in this Agreement or any document referred to shall be construed as giving rise to any presumption or inference of admission or concession by the Parties as to any fault, wrongdoing, fact, or liability. This Paragraph shall not diminish or otherwise affect the obligations, responsibilities, and duties of the Parties under this Agreement.

- 4. In consideration of the following covenants and conditions contained in this Agreement, the Parties have provided the releases set forth in Paragraph 5 below.
 - a. Fee 34 Oil Sump Upgrades. Valley shall upgrade the currently unlined oil sumps at the Fee 34 facility (see Attachment A) to tank storage or lined ponds and the former sumps will be remediated according to the following schedule:
 - i. Valley shall submit a draft site design and implementation plan along with a spill response and prevention plan/storm water management plan, designed to ensure no knowing discharge of Proposition 65 contaminants associated with oil sumps to ground water or surface water to Plaintiffs, no later than July 30, 2016.
 - ii. Valley shall submit a copy of the final Fee 34 design and implementation plan to Plaintiffs no later than November 1, 2016, and begin implementation once the plan and schedule are approved by the Central Valley Regional Water Quality Control Board ("Regional Board").
 - b. Fee 34 Pilot Test. Valley shall implement an approximately 60-day pilot test of a multi-step wastewater treatment system, culminating with reverse osmosis treatment.
 - i. Pilot treatment system will be designed and installed with the intent that the treated water will meet or be below Proposition 65 safe harbor levels and any applicable Basin Plan objectives for the "noticed Proposition 65 constituents" (i.e., benzene, ethylbenzene, toluene, and naphthalene) (hereinafter "Limits").
 - ii. Valley shall submit draft site design plans for the 340 barrel/day pilot project and a brine handling plan for the pilot test to Plaintiffs within five
 (5) days of the Effective Date of the Agreement.
 - Valley shall begin the pilot test of treatment system no later than July 20,
 2016, and monitor results weekly for the noticed Proposition 65
 constituents as well as other pollutants requested by the Regional Board.

Within five (5) business days of receipt of lab data, all monitoring results will be provided to Plaintiffs at an address to be provided by Plaintiffs' counsel within two (2) weeks of the Effective Date. Upon express request, Valley shall submit one split sample per month to Plaintiffs, adhering to all necessary preservation methods and holding time limits for the subject constituents. Following 24 hours' notice during business hours from Plaintiffs, Valley shall obtain one additional sample per month for the constituents described, above, from its independent sampling contractor. Valley shall provide a report to Plaintiffs on the effectiveness of the pilot test no later than October 20, 2016. Valley shall also provide Plaintiffs

1. If the effectiveness report demonstrates that the pilot treatment system is acceptable to Valley for full-scale implementation to treat all produced water at the Fee 34 facility, then Valley shall proceed to contract and install a full-scale treatment facility to be installed as soon as possible, but no later than **November 15, 2017**. Valley shall also provide a brine disposal plan to Plaintiffs prior to operation of the full-scale treatment facility.

with a copy of Valley's Report of Waste Discharge setting forth

subsequent plans for the Fee 34 and Racetrack Hill facilities.

- 2. If the report demonstrates that the pilot treatment system is ineffective at reducing chemical concentrations to below Limits or otherwise unacceptable to Valley, then Valley shall provide to Plaintiffs a plan by November 30, 2017, for design modifications or an alternative implementation plan and schedule to meet such Limits no later than January 1, 2018.
- By January 1, 2018, Valley shall have either implemented a
 project as described in Paragraphs 4.b.iv.1 and 4.b.iv.2, above, to
 meet the applicable Limits, or cease discharge at Race Track Hill.

iv.

Following the full implementation of such project, Valley will continue to monitor and report sample results related to project implementation for a period of twelve months, for the noticed Proposition 65 constituents as well as other pollutants requested by the Regional Board, or for a period of nine consecutive months where there is no showing of contaminants above the Limits. Upon express request, Valley shall also submit one split sample per quarter to Plaintiffs, adhering to all necessary preservation methods and holding time limits for the subject constituents.

Following 24 hours' notice during business hours from Plaintiffs, Valley shall obtain one additional sample per quarter for the noticed Proposition 65 constituents from its independent sampling contractor. All monitoring results described herein will be provided to Plaintiffs within five (5) business days of receipt of lab data.

- c. Fee 34/Racetrack Monitoring. Valley shall continue to sample quarterly from its monitoring wells in and around the Fee 34 and Race Track Hill for the noticed Proposition 65 constituents and other pollutants requested by the Regional Board. All monitoring results will be provided to Plaintiffs within five (5) business days of receipt of lab data. Following 24 hours' notice during business hours from Plaintiffs, Valley shall obtain two additional samples per year for the constituents described above from its independent sampling contractor.
- d. Monetary Payments. Valley shall make a total settlement payment of \$198,470 ("Total Settlement Payment") to Plaintiffs' counsel within ten (10) days of the Effective Date ("Due Date"). The Total Settlement Payment shall be allocated as follows:
 - i. \$80,000 shall be considered a civil penalty pursuant to California Health and Safety Code \$25249.7(b)(1). Plaintiffs shall remit 75% (\$60,000) of

Assessment ("OEHHA") for deposit in the Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Fund in accordance with California Health and Safety Code § 25249.12(c). Plaintiffs will retain the remaining 25% (\$20,000) of the civil penalty to be split among them.

- \$103,470 shall be considered reimbursement of attorneys' fees for AquaTerra Aeris Law Group.
- iii. \$15,000 shall be in consideration of Plaintiffs' expert witness or other legal fees and costs incurred in review of the deliverables under, and monitoring compliance with, this Agreement.

5. Binding Effect; Claims Covered and Released:

- a. Waiver and Release of Liability. Plaintiffs, acting in the public interest and on behalf of themselves and their respective owners, principals, shareholders, officers, directors, employees, agents, parents, subsidiaries, successors, assigns, and legal representatives fully release Valley and it respective owners, principals, shareholders, members, managers, officers, directors, employees, agents, parents, subsidiaries, successors, assigns, and legal representatives (collectively the "Releasing Parties") from any and all claims for violations of law alleged to be caused by discharges to land or waters from the Fee 34 and Race Track Hill facilities from October 2, 2014 up through and including the Termination Date of this Agreement.
- b. The Releasing Parties further waive and release any and all claims they may have against each other from all actions or statements made or undertaken in the course of seeking or opposing enforcement of Proposition 65 in connection with the Notice.
- c. It is possible that other claims not known to the Parties arising out of the facts
 alleged in or related to the Notice have occurred, or will develop and be
 discovered. Plaintiffs on behalf of themselves only, and Valley on behalf of itself

only, acknowledge that this Agreement is expressly intended to cover and include all such claims associated with Valley's produced water disposal activities at the Fee 34 and Race Track Hill facilities up through and including the Termination Date, including all rights of action therefore, and further acknowledge that the claims released in this section may include unknown claims, and nevertheless waive California Civil Code section 1542 as to any such unknown claims. California Civil Code section 1542 reads as follows:

A GENERAL RELEASE DOES NOT EXTEND TO CLAIMS WHICH THE CREDITOR DOES NOT KNOW OR SUSPECT TO EXIST IN HIS OR HER FAVOR AT THE TIME OF EXECUTING THE RELEASE, WHICH IF KNOWN BY HIM OR HER MUCH HAVE MATERIALLY AFFECTED HIS OR HER SETTLEMENT WITH THE DEBTOR.

- d. The Parties acknowledge and understand the significance and consequences of this specific waiver of California Civil Code section 1542.
- this Agreement, Plaintiffs will submit electronically to the California Attorney General's Office a Report of Settlement and a signed copy of this Agreement, along with electronic notice to Valley's counsel.

 The Parties acknowledge and agree that the Parties shall provide as much information as is requested by the California Attorney General, or any other governmental agency, regarding this Matter, its settlement, and this Agreement. This Agreement shall be deemed effective after the final signed copy of this Agreement has been entered by a court, which should occur after a Report of Settlement has been submitted to the California Attorney General and Parties have received notice that the Agreement has been approved or not be objected to ("Effective Date"). In the event that an objection is received from the California Attorney General or any other governmental agency, the Parties shall use best efforts to resolve any such objection prior to the entry of a court order on this matter.
- 7. <u>Termination</u>. This Agreement shall terminate on August 1, 2018, or upon the satisfaction of all requirements of this Agreement, whichever is earlier.
- 8. <u>Headings.</u> The headings used in this Agreement are for convenience of reference and shall not be used to define any provision.
 - 9. <u>No Admission.</u> This Agreement is the direct result of a compromise of disputed

 allegations and claims. As such, this Agreement shall not, for any purpose, be considered as an admission of liability by Valley, nor shall the payment of any sum of money or civil penalties in consideration for the execution of this Agreement constitute or be construed as an admission of any liability by Valley, which expressly denies any such liability or wrongdoing.

- 10. <u>Delays in Schedule Implementation</u>. In the event implementation by Valley of the remedial measures set forth in <u>Paragraph 4</u> of this Agreement (<u>excepting Paragraph 4.d.</u>) does not occur by the agreed to dates, despite the timely good faith efforts of Valley to acquire any necessary approvals and/or permits, or due to factors unforeseen at the time this Agreement was entered into, Valley agrees to notify Plaintiffs in writing as soon as practicable after the anticipated delay becomes apparent, and in any case except in a case of force majeure described below, not less than twenty (20) days prior to any deadline set forth in <u>Paragraph 4</u>, and shall describe the reasons for the anticipated delay.
- 11. Force Majeure. Valley shall not be deemed in default or breach of this Agreement by reason of any event that constitutes a force majeure. For purposes of this Agreement, a force majeure is defined as any event arising from causes beyond the reasonable control of Valley or its contractors that delay or prevents performance. This includes, without limitation, acts of God, acts of war, acts of terrorism, fire, explosion, extraordinary weather events, restraint by court order or public authority, necessary public agency approvals, or other causes beyond Valley's reasonable control.
- 12. Entire Agreement in Writing. This is an integrated Agreement. This Agreement contains the entire agreement between the Parties with regard to the settlement of this Matter, and supersedes all prior or contemporaneous agreements or understandings, written or oral, with regard to the matters set forth in this Agreement. This Agreement may be amended or modified in whole or in part at any time only by an agreement in writing executed by the Parties.
- 13. <u>Assignment.</u> This Agreement shall be binding upon and shall inure to the benefit of the Parties and their respective owners, principals, shareholders, members, managers, officers, directors, employees, agents, parents, subsidiaries, successors, assigns, and legal representatives.
- 14. <u>Negotiated Agreement</u>. No inference, assumption, or presumption shall be drawn, and no provision of this Agreement shall be construed against any of the Parties, based upon the fact that one of the Parties and/or one of the Parties' attorneys prepared and/or drafted all or any portion of this

Agreement. It is conclusively presumed that the Parties participated equally in the preparation and drafting of this Agreement.

- 15. Severability. If any provision, term, or section of this Agreement is found to be invalid, illegal, or unenforceable, then all remaining provisions, terms, or sections shall continue in full forces and effect and remain binding on the Parties. If any provision, term, or section of this Agreement is found to be unenforceable, then such provision, term, or section may be modified so that the unenforceable provision, term, or section is enforceable to the greatest extent possible.
- 16. Interpretation and Applicable Law. This Agreement shall be deemed to have been entered into in the State of California, and governed and interpreted by the laws of the State of California, regardless of the physical locations of the individuals executing this Agreement at the time of execution.
- 17. <u>Continuing Jurisdiction.</u> The Court entering the Stipulated Consent Judgment in this matter shall retain jurisdiction to enforce, modify, or terminate the Consent Judgment.
- 18. Parties' Acknowledgment of Terms. By signing this Agreement, the Parties acknowledge that they have consulted an attorney with respect to the terms and conditions of this Agreement or have made the decision not to consult an attorney on this Matter. The Parties further acknowledge that they fully understand this Agreement and the effect of signing and executing this Agreement.
- 19. Representations and Warranties. This Agreement is given voluntarily, free of undue influence, coercion, duress, menace, or fraud of any kind. No Party, nor any officer, agent, employee, representative, or attorney of or for any Party, has made any statement or representation to any other Party regarding any fact relied upon in entering this Agreement, and no Party is relying upon any statement, representation, or promise of any other Party, nor of any officer, agent, employee, representative, or attorney of or for any Party, in executing this Agreement or in making the settlement provided herein, except as expressly stated in this Agreement.
- 20. <u>Counterparts</u>. This Agreement may be signed in counterparts, and each counterpart as well as any facsimile, email, copy, or electronic copy of this this Agreement shall be deemed to be an original.

1	21. <u>Authority</u> . Each of the individuals that execute this Agreement represents and warrants		
2	they have the authority to execute this document and bind the respective Parties to the terms and		
3	conditions of this Agreement, and have read, understand, and agree to all terms and conditions in this		
4	Agreement.		
5	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the undersigned have of	aused this Agreement to be executed by their	
6	duly authorized representatives.		
7			
8	BY:	DATE: May 4, 2016	
9	Russell Emerson, Manager Valley Water Management Company	,	
10	valies water islanagement company		
11	By. Robert titeles	<12 Ju	
12	Clean Water Fund	DATE: 5/6/16	
13			
14		To Lette	
15	BY: Center for Environmental Health	DATE:	
16			
17	BY:	DATE:	
18	BY: Association of Irritated Residents	171111.	
19			
20	Approved as to Form:		
21			
22	ho 6 May		
23	BY: //lllms/lellul Melissa Thorme,	DATE: May 4, 2016	
24	Attorney for Valley Water Management Company		
25	·	TATE: May 4, 2016	
26	BY:	DATE: May 4, 2016	
27	Jason Flanders, Attorney for Plaintiffs	•	
28		,	
- 1	•		

1	21. <u>Authority</u> . Each of the individuals that execute this Agreement represents and warrants		
2	they have the authority to execute this document and bind the respective Parties to the terms and		
3	conditions of this Agreement, and have read, understand, and agree to all terms and conditions in this		
4	Agreement.		
5	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the undersigned have caused this Agreement to be executed by their		
6	duly authorized representatives.		
7			
8	BY: Kungell Emerson	DATE: May 4, 2016	
9	Russell Emerson, Manager Valley Water Management Company	N 20003	
10			
11		D 4 (777)	
12	BY: Clean Water Fund	DATE:	
13			
14	BY:	DATE:	
15	BY: Center for Environmental Health	DATE.	
16			
17	BY:	DATE:	
18	Association of Irritated Residents		
19			
20	Approved as to Form:		
21			
22	BY: Melunstance	DATE: May 4, 2016	
23	Melissa Thorme,	DILLE: May 4, 2010	
24	Attorney for Valley Water Management Company		
25		DATE: May 4, 2016	
26	BY:	mera a ware at a territory of the CAC	
27	Jason Flanders, Attorney for Plaintiffs		
28			
	STIPULATED CONSENT JUDGMENT	10	

1	21. <u>Authority</u> . Each of the individuals that execute this Agreement represents and warrants	
2	they have the authority to execute this document and bind the respective Parties to the terms and	
3	conditions of this Agreement, and have read, understand, and agree to all terms and conditions in this	
4	Agreement.	at the second se
5	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the undersigned have c	aused this Agreement to be executed by their
6	duly authorized representatives.	
7		
8	BY:	DATE: May 4, 2016
9	Russell Emerson, Manager Valley Water Management Company	
10		
11		D. 1.000
12	BY:Clean Water Fund	DATE:
13	×	
14	BY:	DATE:
15	BY:Center for Environmental Health	D.111.
16		
17	BY:	DATE: May 4, 2016
18	Association of Irritated Residents	
19		
20	Approved as to Form:	
21		
22	BY: Melen Marie	DATE: May 4, 2016
23	Melissa Thorme,	Diffe. May 1, 2010
24	Attorney for Valley Water Management Company	
25		DATE: May 4, 2016
26	BY: Jason Flanders,	
27	Attorney for Plaintiffs	
28		a ·

1.	21. Authority. Each of the individuals that execute this Agreement represents and warrants	
2	they have the authority to execute this document and bind the respective Parties to the terms and	
3	conditions of this Agreement, and have read, understand, a	nd agree to all terms and conditions in this
4	Agreement.	,
5	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the undersigned have c	aused this Agreement to be executed by their
6	duly authorized representatives.	
7	·	
8	BY:	DATE: May 4, 2016
9	Russell Emerson, Manager Valley Water Management Company	
10	varies water management company	
11	-	g.
12	BY: Clean Water Fund	DATE:
13	*	
14	chi	DATE: 4 MM 2016
15	Center for Environmental Health	DATE: Y TO THE
16		
17	my.	DATE:
18	BY: Association of Irritated Residents	
19	•	*
20	Approved as to Form:	•
21	Approved as to Form	
22	BY Milym Sterrie	- Amm M. 4 0016
23	BY: / Welling fortile Melissa Thorme,	DATE: May 4, 2016
24	Attorney for Valley Water Management Company	×.
25	1 - A	DATE: May 4, 2016
26	BY:	DATE. May 4, 2010
27	Jason Flanders, Attorney for Plaintiffs	
28	EMOUNCE IOU I IGUIDANS	
	STIPULATED CONSENT JUDGMENT	10
	PIROTWIED COMPENT ANYOMEM	T

1	IT IS SO ORDERED	,)
2		- 100111
3	LORNA H. BRUMFIELD	DATE: 7/28116
4	Judge of the Kern County Superior Court	
5		
6		
7	THE DOCUMENT TO WHICH THIS CERTIFICATE IS ATTACHED IS A FULL, TRUE AND CORRECT	COURTO
8	COPY OF THE ORIGINAL ON FILE AND OF RECORD IN MY OFFICE.	EUREA
10	ATTESTTEDBY MONALLY Clerk of the Superior court of the	ON THE STATE OF TH
11	TERRY McNALLY Clerk of the Superior court of the State of California, in and for the County of Kern. By DEPUTY	* Company of the Comp
12	LINDA K HALL	WTY OF KEE
13		
14		
15		
16		
17	,	
18 19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
	STIPULATED CONSENT JUDGMENT	. 11